

## EPA Official Record

---

**Notes ID:** 2E05B2B9B2B546EE7F6E45DBB27D6614

**From:** "SEIDEL Paul" <SEIDEL.Paul@deq.state.or.us>

**To:** Christopher Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

**Delivered Date:** 11/04/2010 04:57 PM PDT

**Subject:** RE: PCB validation for Sediments at Harbor Oil

Thanks Chris-

I will take a look at this, as soon as I can next week, and I've blocked out those two dates. I'll also let my supervisor (Jim Anderson) know, who also wants to attend. Finally, as an FYI, I've attached a powerpoint. It illustrates some of the issues I have with method 8082 and why we are moving towards congener analysis at sediment sites with PCBs, I hope this clarifies the basis and intent for my comment on sediment.

Thanks again,  
Paul Seidel  
Oregon DEQ  
503-667-8414 x 5502

-----Original Message-----

From: Cora.Christopher@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Cora.Christopher@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, November 04, 2010 4:50 PM  
To: Paul SEIDEL  
Subject: PCB validation for Sediments at Harbor Oil

Paul,

If it would help, Appendix M of the RI has the validation information for the Sediment SDG's (MT 21 and MT32). I am not a chemist, but there were no indications that there were issues with Compound Verification for those sample sets.

I found it quickest by searching for Method 8082, it's about midway through Phase 1.

Also, EPA is trying to coordinate our technical/legal/management teams schedule to present our rationale to the HOCAG in mid december (15/16). If it happens it should be at the Kenton Firehouse from 6-9ish. I have asked Mark if that would work for his group, I figure I should start checking with you too. I hope you can attend if it comes about. I will send out an official invite once everything is coordinated.

Christopher Cora,  
Project Manager  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
Region 10  
1200 6th Ave, Suite 900, ECL-115  
Seattle, WA 98101-3140  
(206) 553-1478  
Fax: (206) 553-0124/0957



- PCBPowpoint.ppt